arXiv:1608.01511v1 [math.PR] 4 Aug 2016

On maximal agreement couplings

Florian Völlering*

August 5, 2016

We call a coupling of two stochastic processes which maximizes the time until the first disagreement a maximal agreement coupling. We show that such a coupling always exists. Furthermore, it is possible to construct a lower bound on the disagreement time which is independent of one of the two processes.

1 Introduction and Results

Let $(E, \mathcal{E})$ be a Polish space equipped with the Borel σ-algebra. Let $(Z_t^1)_{t\in\mathbb{N}}, (Z_t^2)_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ be two E-valued stochastic processes on the canonical path space $(E^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{N}})$ with laws μ^1,μ^2. We simply write $Z = (Z_t)_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ for a generic element of $E^{\mathbb{N}}$.

A coupling of the measures μ^1 and μ^2 is a measure $\widehat{\mu}$ on the product space $E^{\mathbb{N}} \times E^{\mathbb{N}}$ where the marginals are given by μ^1 and μ^2.

For a sub-σ-algebra $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{N}}$, denote the total variation distance with respect to $\mathcal{F}$ by

$$\left\| \mu^1 - \mu^2 \right\|_{\mathcal{F}-\mathrm{TV}} := \sup_{A\in\mathcal{F}} (\mu^1(A) - \mu^2(A)).$$

A classical question is how quickly Z^1 and Z^2 can be coupled, that is finding a coupling under which the last time Z^1 and Z^2 disagree is as small as possible. More formally, let

$$\sigma_0 := \inf\{t \geq 0 : Z_s^1 = Z_s^2 \ \forall s \geq t\}$$

and $\mathcal{G}_t := \sigma(Z_s : s \geq t)$. For any possible coupling $\widehat{\mu}$ the coupling inequality

$$\widehat{\mu}(\sigma_0 \geq t) \geq \left\| \mu^1 - \mu^2 \right\|_{\mathcal{G}_t-\mathrm{TV}}, \tag{1}$$

provides a universal lower bound. A *maximal* coupling is a coupling for which (1) is an equality for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$, and it is well-known that such a coupling always exists [2, 1, 3].

*Institut für Mathematische Statistik, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Einsteinstraße 62

We are interested in the opposite question, namely we want to find a coupling so that the *first disagreement time* or *decoupling time*

$$\sigma := \inf\{t \geq 0 : Z_t^1 \neq Z_t^2\}$$

is as big as possible. There is a corresponding coupling inequality for this question as well. Let $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(Z_s : 0 \leq s \leq t)$.

Lemma 1.1. *For any coupling $\widehat{\mu}$ of μ^1 and μ^2,*

$$\widehat{\mu}(\sigma > t) \leq 1 - \left\| \mu^1 - \mu^2 \right\|_{\mathcal{F}_t - \mathrm{TV}} \qquad \forall\, t \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2}$$

We call a coupling for which (2) is sharp for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$ a *maximal agreement coupling.*

Theorem 1.2. *There exists a maximal agreement coupling of μ^1 and μ^2.*

In general such a coupling is not unique, since there are no conditions on the joint distribution of Z^1 and Z^2 after the decoupling time σ. In fact, any coupling of the marginals after the decoupling time can be used to construct a maximal agreement coupling. Of course for this to be of use we need to describe the marginals first.

To this end we use the language of regular conditional probabilities. Fix $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Since E is a Polish space regular conditional probabilities of Z^i given the first $t+1$ steps exist. For $z \in E^{t+1}$ we we write $\mu^i(\cdot|Z = z)$ or $\mu^i(\cdot|z)$ for the regular conditional law of Z^i given $Z^i_{0,\ldots,t} = z$. We adopt similar notation for the regular conditional probabilities of other probability measures, in particular for couplings.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\widehat{\mu}$ be a maximal agreement coupling.*

a) For $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \geq t$, $z \in E^s$, $i = 1, 2$, the marginals after the decoupling time are given by

$$\widehat{\mu}(Z^i \in \cdot \mid Z^i = z, \sigma \geq t) = \mu^i(\,\cdot \mid z) \qquad \widehat{\mu} - a.s.$$

b) For $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z, z' \in E^{t+1}$ with $z_{0,\ldots,t-1} = z'_{0,\ldots,t-1}$ let $\widehat{\mu}_t^{z,z'}$ be a coupling of $\mu^1(\cdot|z)$ and $\mu^2(\cdot|z')$. Assume that the map $(Z^1, Z^2, \sigma) \mapsto \widehat{\mu}_\sigma^{Z^1_{0,\ldots,\sigma}, Z^2_{0,\ldots,\sigma}}$ is measurable. Then

$$\widehat{\mu}' := \int \widehat{\mu}_\sigma^{Z^1_{0,\ldots,\sigma}, Z^2_{0,\ldots,\sigma}}\, d\widehat{\mu}$$

is a maximal agreement coupling.

It is clear that the event $\{\sigma = t\}$ contains information about Z^1 and Z^2. This is unavoidable, but also undesirable. In particular properties of the first disagreement time σ cannot assumed to be stable under conditioning: $\widehat{\mu}(\sigma = \infty) = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{\mu}(\sigma \geq t)$ might be positive, but $\widehat{\mu}(\sigma \geq t | Z^1 \in A_t) \to 0$ for a decreasing sequence of events A_t, $A_t \in \mathcal{F}_t$.

The second main result of this article is a remedy to this problem. There exists a lower bound τ on σ which is *independent* of Z^1. With this independence there is no problem in the above example when using τ instead of σ.

Theorem 1.4. *For any maximal agreement coupling $\widehat{\mu}$ of μ^1 and μ^2 there exists an extension $\widehat{\nu}$ to $E^{\mathbb{N}} \times E^{\mathbb{N}} \times (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})$ by an additional random variable $\tau \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ with the following properties:*

a) τ is independent of Z^1;

b) $\sigma \geq \tau \quad \widehat{\nu}$-a.s.;

c) $\kappa_t := \widehat{\mu}(\tau = t | \tau \geq t) = 1 - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{B,z} \left\{ \frac{\mu^2(Z_t \in B | Z = z)}{\mu^1(Z_t \in B | Z = z)} \right\}$, where the infimum is taken over μ^1-a.e. $z \in E^t$ and $B \subset E$ with $\mu^1(Z_t \in B | Z = z) > 0$.

In particular, if $\kappa_t < 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \kappa_t < \infty$, then $\widehat{\nu}(\tau = \infty) > 0$.

In the case that E is countable the following lemma provides convenient bounds on κ_t:

Lemma 1.5. *Assume E is countable. Define for $i = 1, 2$*

$$\delta_t^{(i)} := \inf_{t \in \mathbb{N}, z \in E^{t-1}, e \in E} \left\{ \mu^i(Z_t = e | Z = z) : \mu^1(Z_t = e | Z = z) > 0 \right\}.$$

Then $\kappa_t \leq 1 - \delta_t^{(2)}$ and

$$\kappa_t \leq (\delta_t^{(1)})^{-1} \sup\{\mu^2(Z_t = e | Z = z) - \mu^1(Z_t = e | Z = z) : z \in E^{t-1}, e \in E\}.$$

We finish with two remarks. First, we address an (impossible) generalization of Theorem 1.4. Clearly, in the theorem the roles of Z^1 and Z^2 can be reversed, so that there is also a r.v. τ' with $\tau' \leq \sigma$ and τ' independent of Z^2. One might wonder if it is possible to construct a (non-degenerate) time $\tilde{\tau}$ which satisfies $\tilde{\tau} \leq \sigma$ and which is independent of Z^1 and independent of Z^2 (clearly it cannot be independent of both simultaneously). However, this is not possible, as the following argument shows: Let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^1\left(f(Z_t)\right) - \mu^2\left(f(Z_t)\right) &= \widehat{\nu}\left(f(Z_t^1) - f(Z_t^2)\right) \\ &= \widehat{\nu}\left(f(Z_t^1)\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\tau} \leq t} - f(Z_t^2)\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\tau} \leq t}\right) \\ &= \widehat{\nu}\left(f(Z_t^1)\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\tau} \leq t}\right) - \widehat{\nu}\left(f(Z_t^2)\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\tau} \leq t}\right) \end{aligned}$$

By the assumed individual independence, this equals

$$\widehat{\nu}(\tilde{\tau} \leq t)\left(\mu^1\left(f(Z_t)\right) - \mu^2\left(f(Z_t)\right)\right),$$

which implies $\tilde{\tau} = 0$ a.s.

For the final remark we consider applying the results to Markov chains. Let X^1 and X^2 be two Markov chains with the same transition kernel but possibly different starting points x_1 and x_2 on a Polish space F. Clearly a maximal coupling of X^1 and X^2 is trivial, $\sigma = \infty$ if $x_1 = x_2$ and $\sigma = 0$ otherwise. However, let $\phi : F \to E$ and consider $Z_t^i = \phi(X_t^i)$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, 2$. For these induced processes a maximal agreement coupling is both meaningful and interesting. For example ϕ could be a coarse-graining map or a projection on a lower-dimensional state space.

2 Preliminaries and the proof of Lemma 1.1

Before going into the proofs we need some more notation and concepts. We say ν is a (sub-)probability measures when the total mass $|\,\nu\,|$ is less or equal to 1. For two sub-probability measures ν^1 and ν^2, we say $\nu^1 \leq \nu^2$ if $\nu^1(A) \leq \nu^2(A)$ for any event A, or equivalently $\nu^1 \ll \nu^2$ and $\frac{d\nu^1}{d\nu^2} \leq 1$. The minimum $\nu^1 \wedge \nu^2$ is the largest sub-probability measure ν which satisfies $\nu \leq \nu^1$ and $\nu \leq \nu^2$. With $\nu|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$ we denote the restriction of the measure ν to the σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}_t$. For $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $z \in E^{t+1}$, the regular conditional probability $\nu(\cdot|Z = z)$ of a sub-probability measure ν is the regular conditional probability of the probability measure $\nu/|\,\nu\,|$. A consequence of this convention is that for an event A with $\nu(A) > 0$ we have $\nu(\cdot|Z = z, A) = \nu(\cdot, A|Z = z)$.

The proof of the coupling inequality in Lemma 1.1 is a simple computation using the minimum of two measures.

Proof of Lemma 1.1. By the maximality of $\nu_t := \mu^1|_{\mathcal{F}_t} \wedge \mu^2|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$ we have that the measures $\mu^1|_{\mathcal{F}_t} - \nu_t$ and $\mu^2|_{\mathcal{F}_t} - \nu_t$ are mutually singular, and hence

$$\left\|\,\mu^1 - \mu^2\,\right\|_{\mathcal{F}_t-\mathrm{TV}} = 1 - |\,\nu_t\,|\,.$$

Furthermore, for $i = 1, 2$ and any coupling $\widehat{\mu}$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$,

$$\widehat{\mu}(Z^1_{0,\ldots,t} \in A, \sigma > t) = \widehat{\mu}(Z^2_{0,\ldots,t} \in A, \sigma > t) \leq \mu^i(A),$$

which by the maximality of ν_t implies $\widehat{\mu}(Z^i_{0,\ldots,t} \in \cdot, \sigma > t) \leq \nu_t$, $i = 1, 2$. Therefore

$$\widehat{\mu}(\sigma > t) \leq |\,\nu_t\,| = 1 - \left\|\,\mu^1 - \mu^2\,\right\|_{\mathcal{F}_t-\mathrm{TV}}. \qquad \square$$

3 Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is an explicity construction. It uses the same strategy as the proof for the existence of a maximal coupling found in [4](Theorem 4.6.1). The key difference is that we work with the *increasing* sequence of σ-algebras $(\mathcal{F}_t)$. In contrast the construction of the maximal coupling makes use of the decreasing sequence $(\mathcal{G}_t)$. This difference means an inductive argument from the largest σ-algebra downwards is not possible.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We will iteratively define a sequence of sub-probability measures which will allow us to construct the coupling. We start by setting $\overline{\mu}^i_0 := \mu^i$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\pi_0 := \overline{\mu}^1_0|_{\mathcal{F}_0} \wedge \overline{\mu}^2_0|_{\mathcal{F}_0}$, the largest common component of the two measures on the σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}_0$. Note that we can interpret π_0 as a sub-probability measure on E. Next we set $\overline{\mu}^i_1(\cdot) := \int_E \overline{\mu}^i_0(\cdot|z)\pi_0(dz)$, which is the extension of π_0 to a sub-probability measure on $E^{\mathbb{N}}$ which satisfies $\overline{\mu}^i_1 \leq \overline{\mu}^i_0$. finally we set $\mu^i_0 := \overline{\mu}^i_0 - \overline{\mu}^i_1$, $i = 1, 2$. Iterating,

we define

$$\pi_t := \overline{\mu}^1_t|_{\mathcal{F}_t} \wedge \overline{\mu}^2_t|_{\mathcal{F}_t}, \tag{3}$$

$$\overline{\mu}^i_{t+1}(\cdot) := \int_{E^{t+1}} \overline{\mu}^i_t(\cdot|z)\pi_t(dz), \tag{4}$$

$$\mu^i_t := \overline{\mu}^i_t - \overline{\mu}^i_{t+1}.$$

From the construction we immediately obtain that

$$\mu^i = \overline{\mu}^i_0 \geq \overline{\mu}^i_1 \geq ..., \qquad \sum_{s=0}^{t} \mu^i_s = \mu^i - \overline{\mu}^i_{t+1} \leq \mu^i,$$

$$\mu^i_t|_{\mathcal{F}_s} = \pi_{t-1}|_{\mathcal{F}_s} - \pi_t|_{\mathcal{F}_s}, \qquad \left\| \mu^1_t - \mu^2_t \right\|_{\mathcal{F}_s-\mathrm{TV}} = 0, \quad 0 \leq s < t.$$

As a consequence, we can define $\mu^i_\infty := \mu^i - \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \mu^i_s \geq 0$. Furthermore,

$$\mu^i_\infty|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \left[\mu^i - \sum_{s=0}^{t} \mu^i_s\right]|_{\mathcal{F}_t} + \sum_{s=t+1}^{\infty} \pi_s|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \pi_t + \sum_{s=t+1}^{\infty} \pi_s|_{\mathcal{F}_t},$$

which shows that $\mu^1_\infty = \mu^2_\infty$.

To obtain a coupling, let $\widehat{\mu}_0 := \mu^1_0 \otimes \mu^2_0$, and

$$\widehat{\mu}_t := \int_{E^t} \mu^1_t(\cdot|z) \otimes \mu^2_t(\cdot|z)\ \mu^1_t|_{\mathcal{F}_{t-1}}(dz), \quad 1 \leq t \leq \infty, \tag{5}$$

where for $t = \infty$ we have the degenerate case with $z \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mu^i_\infty(\cdot|z) = \delta_z$.

Define $\widehat{\mu} = \widehat{\mu}_0 + \widehat{\mu}_1 + ... + \widehat{\mu}_\infty$, for which a direct computation shows that the marginals are μ^1 and μ^2, hence $\widehat{\mu}$ is a coupling. What remains to show is that is indeed a maximal agreement coupling.

First we will show that for all $t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$,

$$\widehat{\mu}(\cdot, \sigma = t) = \widehat{\mu}_t(\cdot), \tag{6}$$

which is equivalent to $\widehat{\mu}_t(\sigma \neq t) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. By construction $\widehat{\mu}_t(\sigma < t) = 0$, and

$$\mu^1_t|_{\mathcal{F}_t} \wedge \mu^2_t|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \left(\overline{\mu}^1_t|_{\mathcal{F}_t} - \overline{\mu}^1_{t+1}|_{\mathcal{F}_t}\right) \wedge \left(\overline{\mu}^2_t|_{\mathcal{F}_t} - \overline{\mu}^2_{t+1}|_{\mathcal{F}_t}\right) = \overline{\mu}^1_t|_{\mathcal{F}_t} \wedge \overline{\mu}^2_t|_{\mathcal{F}_t} - \pi_t = 0.$$

Therefore $\widehat{\mu}_t(\sigma \leq t) = |\widehat{\mu}_t|$, the total mass of $\widehat{\mu}_t$, and hence $\widehat{\mu}_t(\sigma > t) = 0$.

With (6) we can now verify that $\widehat{\mu}$ is indeed a maximal agreement coupling:

$$\left\| \mu^1 - \mu^2 \right\|_{\mathcal{F}_t-\mathrm{TV}} = 1 - \left| \mu^1|_{\mathcal{F}_t} \wedge \mu^2|_{\mathcal{F}_t} \right| = 1 - \left| \overline{\mu}^1_{t+1} \right| \tag{7}$$

and by (2) and (7)

$$\left\| \mu^1 - \mu^2 \right\|_{\mathcal{F}_t-\mathrm{TV}} \leq \widehat{\mu}(\sigma \leq t) = \sum_{s=0}^{t} |\widehat{\mu}_s| = 1 - \left| \overline{\mu}^1_{t+1} \right| = \left\| \mu^1 - \mu^2 \right\|_{\mathcal{F}_t-\mathrm{TV}},$$

which shows that (2) is an equality for all t and hence $\widehat{\mu}$ is indeed a maximal agreement coupling. □

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is mostly a refinement of the construction of the maximal agreement coupling above. We first show that various regular conditional probabilities of the building blocks of $\widehat{\mu}$ can be expressed via μ^1 and μ^2.

Lemma 3.1. *In the construction of the maximal agreement coupling of Theorem 1.2, it holds that $\overline{\mu}_t^i(\cdot|z) = \mu^i(\cdot|z)$ for all $s \geq t$, $\overline{\mu}_t^i|_{\mathcal{F}_{s-1}}$-a.e. $z \in E^s$, and $\mu_t^i(\cdot|z) = \mu^i(\cdot|z)$ for all $s \geq t$, $\mu_t^i|_{\mathcal{F}_s}$-a.e. $z \in E^{s+1}$.*

Proof. First we show that $\overline{\mu}_t^i(\cdot|z) = \mu^i(\cdot|z)$ for $\overline{\mu}_t^i|_{\mathcal{F}_s}$-a.e. $z \in E^s$, and the proof is done by induction. The claim is clearly true for $t = 0$, since $\overline{\mu}_0^i = \mu^i$. Assume now the claim is true for $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $s \geq t+1$, $z \in E^{t+1}$ and $z' \in E^{s+1}$ with $z'_{0,\dots,t} = z$. Since $\overline{\mu}_{t+1}^i(\cdot) = \int_{E^{t+1}} \overline{\mu}_t^i(\cdot|\gamma)\pi_t(d\gamma)$ and $z \in E^{t+1}$ we have $\overline{\mu}_{t+1}^i(\cdot|z) = \overline{\mu}_t^i(\cdot|z)$ for π_t-a.e. $z \in E^{t+1}$. Since $\overline{\mu}_{t+1}^i|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \pi_t \leq \overline{\mu}_t^i|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$, the induction hypothesis implies $\overline{\mu}_{t+1}^i(\cdot|z) = \mu^i(\cdot|z)$ for $\overline{\mu}_{t+1}^i$-a.e. $z \in E^{t+1}$. To obtain the statement for z' we use the fact that $\mu^i(\cdot|z')$ is a version of the regular conditional probability $\nu_z(\cdot|z')$, where $\nu_z = \mu^i(\cdot|z)$.

For the second claim, let $s \geq t$, $A \in \mathcal{G}_{s+1}$ and $B \in \mathcal{F}_s$. Then, using the definition of μ_t^i and the first claim,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_B \mu_t^i(A|z)\mu_t^i|_{\mathcal{F}_s}(dz) = \mu_t^i(A \cap B) = \overline{\mu}_t^i(A \cap B) - \overline{\mu}_{t+1}^i(A \cap B)\\ &= \int_B \mu(A|z)(\overline{\mu}_t^i - \overline{\mu}_{t+1}^i)|_{\mathcal{F}_s}(dz) = \int_B \mu^i(A|z)\mu_t^i|_{\mathcal{F}_s}(dz).\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Part a): First assume that $\widehat{\mu}$ is the maximal agreement coupling constructed in Theorem 1.2. By (6), (4) and Lemma 3.1,

$$\widehat{\mu}(\cdot|Z^i = z, \sigma \geq t) = \widehat{\mu}(\cdot, \sigma \geq t|Z^i = z) = \overline{\mu}_t(\cdot|Z^i = z) = \mu_t^i(\cdot|z) = \mu^i(\cdot|z),$$

which shows the claim for this maximal agreement coupling. Assume now that $\widehat{\mu}'$ is some other maximal agreement coupling. Define the sub-probability measure $\pi'_t(A) := \widehat{\mu}'(Z^i \in A, \sigma > t)$, $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$, $i = 1, 2$. The definition of π'_t does not depend on the choice of i since $\sigma > t$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$. Therefore $\pi'_t \leq \mu^i$ for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$, which implies $\pi'_t \leq \pi_t$. But by the maximal agreement property of $\widehat{\mu}'$, $|\pi'_t| = |\pi_t|$, which implies $\pi'_t = \pi_t$. Defining $\overline{\mu}'^{,i}_t(\cdot) := \widehat{\mu}'(Z^i \in \cdot, \sigma > t)$ and $\mu'^{,i}_t = \overline{\mu}'^{,i}_t - \overline{\mu}'^{,i}_{t+1}$, the proof of Lemma 3.1 and the above argument for $\widehat{\mu}$ are true for $\widehat{\mu}'$ as well, using only $\pi'_t = \pi_t$.

For part b), in (5) we replace $\mu_t^1(\cdot|z) \otimes \mu_t^2(\cdot|z)$ by

$$\int_{E\times E} \widehat{\mu}_t^{(z,\gamma_1),(z,\gamma_2)}(Z^1 \in \cdot, Z^2 \in \cdot)\left[\mu_t^1(Z_t \in \cdot|z) \otimes \mu_t^2(Z_t \in \cdot|z)\right](d(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)).$$

By Lemma 3.1 the marginals stay the same, so we obtain a valid coupling of μ^1 and μ^2. And since the change affects only the evolution after the decoupling time, the maximal agreement property remains unaffected. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

This proof relies on a refinement of the construction of the maximal agreement coupling in the previous section. The next lemma is the key ingredient. Basically, it is the analogous statement of Theorem 1.4 for a single time point t.

Lemma 4.1. *Fix $t \in \mathbb{N}$. A maximal agreement coupling $\widehat{\mu}$ of μ^1 and μ^2 can be extended to a coupling $\widehat{\mu}^{Y_t}$ on $E^{\mathbb{N}} \times E^{\mathbb{N}} \times \{0,1\}$ containing an additional random variable $Y_t \in \{0,1\}$ with the following properties:*

a) $\widehat{\mu}^{Y_t}(Y_t = 1) = \kappa_t$, where κ_t is as in Theorem 1.4;

b) Y_t is independent of Z^1 and $\{\sigma > t-1\}$;

c) $\{\sigma = t\} \subset \{\sigma > t-1, Y_t = 1\}$.

Proof. Assume that $\kappa_t \in (0,1)$, otherwise the statement is trivial. Furthermore assume for now that $\widehat{\mu}$ is the maximal agreement coupling constructed in the proof of Theorem 1.2. For $A \subset E^t$ and $B \subset E$, we write

$$\kappa_t(A,B) := \widehat{\mu}(\sigma = t | Z_t^1 \in B, Z_{0,\dots,t-1}^1 \in A, \sigma \geq t).$$

Since $\widehat{\mu}(Z^1 \in \cdot, \sigma = s) = \mu_s^1(\cdot)$ and $\mu_t^1 = \overline{\mu}_t^1 - \overline{\mu}_{t+1}^1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_t(A,B) &= \frac{\widehat{\mu}(\sigma = t, Z_t^1 \in B, Z_{0,\dots,t-1}^1 \in A)}{\widehat{\mu}(\sigma \geq t, Z_t^1 \in B, Z_{0,\dots,t-1}^1 \in A)} = \frac{\mu_t^1(Z_t \in B, Z_{0,\dots,t-1} \in A)}{\overline{\mu}_t^1(Z_t \in B, Z_{0,\dots,t-1} \in A)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\overline{\mu}_{t+1}^1(Z_t \in B, Z_{0,\dots,t-1} \in A)}{\overline{\mu}_t^1(Z_t \in B, Z_{0,\dots,t-1} \in A)}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We want to show that $\kappa_t(A,B) \leq \kappa_t$. To this end, by (4) and Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}&\overline{\mu}_t^1(Z_t \in B, Z_{0,\dots,t-1} \in A) = \int_A \int_B 1 \; \mu^1(Z_t \in dy | z) \pi_{t-1}(dz) \\ &= \int_A \int_B \frac{d\mu^1(Z_t \in \cdot | z)}{d\mu^2(Z_t \in \cdot | z)}(y) \mu^2(Z_t \in dy | z) \pi_{t-1}(dz),\end{aligned}$$

where we used in the last line that $\mu^1(Z_t \in \cdot | z) \ll \mu^2(Z_t \in \cdot | z)$ (for a.e. z) since $\kappa_t < 1$. By using the fact that for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $a = (a \wedge 1)(a \vee 1)$, we can upper bound the above by

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{z \in A, y \in B} \left(\frac{d\mu^1(Z_t \in \cdot | z)}{d\mu^2(Z_t \in \cdot | z)}(y) \vee 1 \right) \int_A \int_B \frac{d\mu^1(Z_t \in \cdot | z)}{d\mu^2(Z_t \in \cdot | z)}(y) \wedge 1 \; \mu^2(Z_t \in dy | z) \pi_{t-1}(dz)$$

$$= \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{z \in A, y \in B} \left(\frac{d\mu^1(Z_t \in \cdot | z)}{d\mu^2(Z_t \in \cdot | z)}(y) \right) \int_A \int_B \left[\mu^1(Z_t \in \cdot | z)|_{\mathcal{F}_t} \wedge \mu^2(Z_t \in \cdot | z)|_{\mathcal{F}_t} \right](dy) \pi_{t-1}(dz)$$

$$\leq (1 - \kappa_t)^{-1} \pi_t(Z_t \in B, Z_{0,\dots,t-1} \in A) = (1 - \kappa_t)^{-1} \overline{\mu}_{t+1}^1(Z_t \in B, Z_{0,\dots,t-1} \in A),$$

where in the last line we used (3) and (4). It follows that (8) is indeed less or equal to κ_t. Define now for $z \in E^{t+1}$ $\kappa_t(z) := \widehat{\mu}(\sigma = t | Z^1 = z, \sigma \geq t)$. Since $\kappa_t(A, B) \leq \kappa_t$ for all A, B we have also that $\kappa_t(z) \leq \kappa_t$ for $\overline{\mu}_t^1$-a.e. $z \in E^{t+1}$.

We can define the extended coupling $\widehat{\mu}^{Y_t}$ on $E^{\mathbb{N}} \times E^{\mathbb{N}} \times \{0, 1\}$ via $\widehat{\mu}_s^{Y_t} = \widehat{\mu}_s \otimes (\kappa_t \delta_1 + (1 - \kappa_t)\delta_0)$, $s < t$, $\widehat{\mu}_t^{Y_t} = \widehat{\mu}_t \otimes \delta_1$ and

$$\widehat{\mu}_s^{Y_t} = \int_{E^s} \widehat{\mu}_s(\cdot | Z^1 = Z^2 = z) \otimes \left(\left(1 - \frac{1 - \kappa_t}{1 - \kappa_t(z_{0,\ldots,t})} \right) \delta_1 + \frac{1 - \kappa_t}{1 - \kappa_t(z_{0,\ldots,t})} \delta_0 \right) \mu_s^1|_{\mathcal{F}_{s-1}}(dz)$$

for $s > t$, and we set $\widehat{\mu}^{Y_t} = \widehat{\mu}_0^{Y_t} + \ldots + \widehat{\mu}_\infty^{Y_t}$.

What remains is to verify that properties a), b) and c) hold. Property c) follows from $\widehat{\mu}^{Y_t}(\cdot, \sigma = s) = \widehat{\mu}_s^{Y_t}$ and the definition of $\widehat{\mu}_t^{Y_t}$. For a) and b), let $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$. By the construction of $\widehat{\mu}^{Y_t}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\mu}^{Y_t}(Y_t = 1, Z^1 \in A, \sigma \geq t) = \widehat{\mu}_t^{Y_t}(Y_t = 1, Z^1 \in A) + \ldots + \widehat{\mu}_\infty^{Y_t}(Y_t = 1, Z^1 \in A) \\ &= \mu_t^1(Z^1 \in A) + \int_A \left(1 - \frac{1 - \kappa_t}{1 - \kappa_t(z)} \right) \overline{\mu}_{t+1}^1|_{\mathcal{F}_t}(dz). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

By (8) and $\kappa_t < 1$,

$$(1 - \kappa_t(A, B))^{-1} = \frac{\overline{\mu}_t^1(Z_t \in B, Z_{0,\ldots,t-1} \in A)}{\overline{\mu}_{t+1}^1(Z_t \in B, Z_{0,\ldots,t-1} \in A)} < \infty,$$

from which follows that $\overline{\mu}_t^1|_{\mathcal{F}_t} \ll \overline{\mu}_{t+1}^1|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$ and

$$\frac{d\overline{\mu}_t^1|_{\mathcal{F}_t}}{d\overline{\mu}_{t+1}^1|_{\mathcal{F}_t}}(z) = (1 - \kappa_t(z))^{-1}. \tag{10}$$

Together with (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mu}^{Y_t}(Y_t = 1, Z^1 \in A, \sigma \geq t) &= \mu_t^1(A) + \overline{\mu}_{t+1}^1(A) - (1 - \kappa_t)\overline{\mu}_t^1(A) \\ &= \kappa_t \overline{\mu}_t^1(A) \\ &= \kappa_t \widehat{\mu}^{Y_t}(Z^1 \in A, \sigma \geq t). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

This shows both that $\widehat{\mu}^{Y_t}(Y_t = 1) = \kappa_t$ and independence of $Z_{0,\ldots,t}^1$ and $\{\sigma \geq t\}$. To obtain the full independence of Z^1, let $B \in \sigma(Z_{t+1}^1, \ldots, Z_s^1)$ for $s > t$ arbitrary. Then, by (5) and Lemma 3.1, (9) changes to

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\mu}^{Y_t}(Y_t = 1, Z^1 \in A \cap B, \sigma \geq t) \\ &= \mu_t^1(A \cap B) + \int_A \left(1 - \frac{1 - \kappa_t}{1 - \kappa_t(z)} \right) \mu^1(B|z) \overline{\mu}_{t+1}^1|_{\mathcal{F}_t}(dz). \end{aligned}$$

With the same computation as in (11) we get

$$\widehat{\mu}^{Y_t}(Y_t = 1, Z^1 \in A \cap B, \sigma \geq t) = \kappa_t \widehat{\mu}^{Y_t}(Z^1 \in A \cap B, \sigma \geq t),$$

which completes the proof for $\widehat{\mu}$.

To show the statement for a general maximal agreement coupling $\widehat{\mu}$ we use the same strategy as in the proof of Theorem 1.3. We define π_t, $\overline{\mu}_t^i$ and μ_t^i in terms of $\widehat{\mu}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_t &:= \widehat{\mu}(Z^1 \in \cdot, \sigma > t)|_{\mathcal{F}_t}, & \widehat{\mu}_t &:= \widehat{\mu}(\cdot, \sigma = t), \\ \mu_t^i &:= \widehat{\mu}_t(Z^i \in \cdot), & \overline{\mu}_t^i &:= \widehat{\mu}_t(Z^i \in \cdot, \sigma \geq t). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

We restate that π_t is universal in maximal agreement couplings, as was shown in the proof of Theorem 1.3. Using this the above construction of $\widehat{\mu}^{Y_t}$ follows through the same. □

Theorem 1.4 is a generalization of Lemma 4.1, and the proof reflects this.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We will introduce random variables $(Y_t)_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ in such a way that the law of (Z^1, Z^2, Y_t) is given by the coupling $\widehat{\mu}^{Y_t}$ constructed in Lemma 4.1. We do this by using the way $\widehat{\mu}_s$ is extended to $\widehat{\mu}_s^{Y_t}$ simultaneously for all Y_t. For $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in E^{s+1}$ let

$$\nu_{s,t}(z) := \begin{cases} \kappa_t\delta_1 + (1-\kappa_t)\delta_0, & s < t; \\ \delta_1, & s = t; \\ \left(1 - \frac{1-\kappa_t}{1-\kappa_t(z_{0,\dots,t})}\right)\delta_1 + \frac{1-\kappa_t}{1-\kappa_t(z_{0,\dots,t})}\delta_0, & s > t. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\nu_{s,t}(z)$ is the distribution of Y_t given $\{\sigma = s\}$ and $Z^1 = z$. By simply taking the product measures we obtain a coupling $\widehat{\nu} = \widehat{\nu}_0 + ... + \widehat{\nu}_\infty$,

$$\widehat{\nu}_s = \int_{E^{s+1}} \widehat{\mu}_s(\cdot|Z^1 = z) \otimes \bigotimes_{t=0}^{\infty} \nu_{s,t}(z)\ \mu_s^1|_{\mathcal{F}_s}(dz),$$

where μ_s^1 and $\widehat{\mu}_s$ are given by (12). This construction indeed extends the maximal agreement coupling $\widehat{\mu}$ by a sequence $(Y_t)_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ and the marginal of (Z^1, Z^2, Y_t) is given by $\widehat{\mu}^{Y_t}$.

Let $\tau := \inf\{t \geq 0 : Y_t = 1\}$. By construction, $\widehat{\nu}_t(Y_t = 1) = 1$. This implies $Y_\sigma = 1$ and hence $\tau \leq \sigma$ $\widehat{\nu}$-a.s. Furthermore we get $\widehat{\nu}_s(\tau = t) = 0$ for all $t > s$.

Let $A \subset E^{\mathbb{N}}$ be an arbitrary event. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\nu}(Z^1 \in A, \tau > t) = \widehat{\nu}(Z^1 \in A, Y_t = 0, \tau > t-1) \\ &= (\widehat{\nu}_{t+1} + ... + \widehat{\nu}_\infty)(Z^1 \in A, Y_t = ... = Y_0 = 0). \end{aligned}$$

For $r > t$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\nu}_r(Z^1 \in A, Y_t = ... = Y_0 = 0) \\ &= \int_{E^{r+1}} \widehat{\mu}_r(Z^1 \in A|Z^1 = z) \otimes \bigotimes_{s=0}^{t} [\nu_{r,s}(z)]\,(Y_s = 0)\mu_r^1|_{\mathcal{F}_r}(dz) \\ &= \int_{E^{r+1}} \mu_r^1(A|z) \prod_{s=0}^{t} \frac{1-\kappa_s}{1-\kappa_s(z_{0,\dots,s})}\mu_r^1|_{\mathcal{F}_r}(dz). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, $\mu_r^1(A|z) = \mu^1(A|z)$. Summing over $r > t$, we get

$$\widehat{\nu}(Z^1 \in A, \tau > t) = \left(\prod_{s=0}^{t}(1-\kappa_s)\right) \int_{E^{t+1}} \mu^1(A|z) \prod_{s=0}^{t} \frac{1}{1-\kappa_s(z_{0,\dots,s})} \overline{\mu}_{t+1}^1|_{\mathcal{F}_t}(dz).$$

By (10), $(1-\kappa_t(z_{0,\dots,t}))^{-1} = \frac{d\overline{\mu}_t^1|_{\mathcal{F}_t}}{d\overline{\mu}_{t+1}|_{\mathcal{F}_t}}$. Together with Lemma 3.1 this allows us to simplify the integral to

$$\int_{E^t} \mu^1(A|z) \prod_{s=0}^{t-1} \frac{1}{1-\kappa_s(z_{0,\dots,s})} \overline{\mu}_t^1|_{\mathcal{F}_{t-1}}(dz).$$

Repeating the argument shows that it in fact equals $\int_E \mu^1(A|z)\overline{\mu}_0^1|_{\mathcal{F}_0}(dz) = \mu^1(A)$, which shows that

$$\widehat{\nu}(Z^1 \in A, \tau > t) = \left(\prod_{s=0}^{t}(1-\kappa_s)\right) \mu^1(A) = \widehat{\nu}(\tau > t)\widehat{\nu}(Z^1 \in A).$$

□

References

[1] Sheldon Goldstein. Maximal coupling. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 46(2):193–204, 1979.

[2] David Griffeath. A maximal coupling for markov chains. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 31(2):95–106, 1975.

[3] Hermann Thorisson. On maximal and distributional coupling. *The Annals of Probability*, pages 873–876, 1986.

[4] Hermann Thorisson. *Coupling, stationarity, and regeneration*, volume 200. Springer New York, 2000.